

**PATENT APPLICATION**

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR §1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER ART UNIT 3662**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of

Thierry BREDY

Group Art Unit: 3662

Application No.: 10/517,146

Examiner: B. GREGORY

Filed: December 7, 2004

Docket No.: 121891

For: **METHOD OF CORRECTING THE TRAJECTORY OF A SPIN-STABILISED  
PROJECTILE AND PROJECTILE IMPLEMENTING ONE SUCH METHOD**

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION AFTER FINAL REJECTION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the March 29, 2007 Office Action, reconsideration of the above-identified application is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Office Action asserts that the term "aerodynamic center" is indefinite and unclear in context. However, the term is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art as an inherent property of any flying object, as a point where the aerodynamic moment about the flying object remains constant. In other words, it is a point in a flying object about which all changes in lift on an object effectively acts. Read in the context of the claim, the

*Enter  
Response  
ref*